

Message

From: crborello@aol.com [crborello@aol.com]
Sent: 1/27/2019 10:46:00 PM
To: fredarossetti@gmail.com; ccwits@sbcglobal.net; rwitsama@kent.edu; EdJulieR@aol.com; scribman33@yahoo.com; apaone@neo.rr.com; wi2oh@yahoo.com; lramirez2@neo.rr.com; tramols@att.net; mjroll@sbcglobal.net; chuckosborne@neo.rr.com; rjpalmer@neo.rr.com; fight848@gmail.com; stolz@duq.edu; McCracken, Jonathan (Brown) [Jonathan_McCracken@brown.senate.gov]; Patterson, John (Brown) [John_Patterson@brown.senate.gov]; reportcorruption@pogo.org; grapevinerealestate@roadrunner.com; somerdale1@frontier.com; greg.m.schwartz@gmail.com; tmills@benohio.org; auch@fractracker.org; tjlodge50@yahoo.com; heather.cantino@gmail.com; tyler.converse@cantonohio.gov; vcolley@earthlink.net; tammyproctor@hotmail.com; jruch@peer.org; dianed@nirs.org
Subject: Fwd: Tc99 READ
Attachments: IMG_0709.JPG; IMG_0710.JPG

Folks,

Here's a memo expert, Dr. M. Ketterer sent me/CCLT back 1/25/05 while he, Dr. Mark Baskaran and Dr. Julie W. Rice were working for us under the Dept. of Energy Settlement award grant of \$50,000. Note: the ORG. - a Citizens Monitoring Fund established for the purpose of handling the DOE grant monies believed Uniontown IEL Superfund **had enough significant evidence involving Man made radiation - Plutonium, Tritium and Technetium 99**, a nuclear fission product, that they went to their Board national,y we were told, to make a special exception for our site and apparently others that had "fallen through the cracks".....They said prior, the funding could only be designated to **"recognized"** DOE/DoD government rad waste sites...

Anyway, please check out what Dr. Ketterer wrote to us in 2005 regarding the nuclear fission material found at Uniontown IEL by the PRPs (polluters) in EPA validated test results in May, 2001 called "Technetium 99. (99Tc a Beta Emitter)..These independent academic radiation experts, Dr. Ketterer & Dr. Baskaran, likewise sampled with our grant money off site in 2005 in two different seasons, July and October, SHALLOW wells. The lab in New Mexico reported back that all samples collected on private off site properties near IEL had positive detecttable hits of Technetium 99, in both seasonal rounds, July & October of 2005.

NOTE: Interestingly, the **US EPA Onbudsman McKechnie/IG Report (Appendix B seen above)** called attention to the issue regarding the questionable (jacked up) detection limits curiously used. I.e, One must wonder: Had US EPA required the PRP's (polluters) to use the EPA "recommended" detection limit - HOW MANY more IEL monitoring wells tested by the polluters would have registered Tc 99? Would it have been 100% like independent experts' testing found? (Information sent to CCLT years' prior from Missouri indicated that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission had recommended this Beta emitter to be "handled in a glove box"....

It goes without saying, this is just one reason we haven't given up on our dumpsite after all these years despite the tremendous odds and horrendous corruption....Always, Chris, for CCLT

-----Original Message-----

From: Christine Borello <crborello@icloud.com>
To: crborello <crborello@aol.com>
Sent: Sun, Jan 27, 2019 3:41 pm
Subject: Tc99

Sent from my iPhone

ED_004747_00002654-00001

